

To: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa[Mcclain-Vanderpool.Lisa@epa.gov]
Cc: Land, Kelcey[Land.Kelcey@epa.gov]; Ostrander, David[Ostrander.David@epa.gov]
From: Way, Steven
Sent: Fri 9/4/2015 2:16:10 PM
Subject: Re: ACTION: GKM: Need your review of HQ responses to Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

The only addition that I would offer is that the decision to treat the Gold King mine discharge is still under consideration. This is because the marginal increase in metals concentrations above the historic levels from ongoing mine discharge may not be significant. Predicting this change is somewhat complicated but estimates are being made.

The bigger problem is the combined discharge from 4 abandoned mines of @ 300 million gallons per year for the last 6 to 10 years since the American Tunnel was plugged by SGC and water levels were caused to rise above the other mines.

Steve

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 4, 2015, at 7:58 AM, McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa <Mcclain-Vanderpool.Lisa@epa.gov> wrote:

See below.

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool
Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer
Office of Communications and Public Involvement
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, Colorado 80202
Office 303.312.6077
Cell 303.501.4027

From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2015 7:48 AM

To: Ostrander, David; Williams, Laura
Subject: ACTION: GKM: Need your review of HQ responses to Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)
Importance: High

Let me know if there is someone else I should ask for review.

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool
Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer
Office of Communications and Public Involvement
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, Colorado 80202
Office 303.312.6077
Cell 303.501.4027

From: Dreyfus, Melissa G.
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2015 6:28 AM
To: Mylott, Richard; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Cc: Stalcup, Dana; Fitz-James, Schatzi; Mahmud, Shahid; Zaragoza, Larry; Jennings, Robert; Flaks, Art
Subject: FW: Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)
Importance: High

Hi Richard and Lisa,

We (OSRTI) received the following media inquiry through the EOC PIO and are working to provide answers. We would like R8 input/review into our proposed responses, below. It appears from the email chain that the JIC and Area Command weighed in initially. We will work with OSWER and EOC PIO to respond once we hear back from R8.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Melissa

Regarding the commercial water treatment facility to be installed ahead of winter

Q1: Where would this be placed?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

Q2: How much would the apparatus cost?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

Q3: Where will the money for this plant come from?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

Q4: Will the plant be permanent or temporary?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

Melissa Greer Dreyfus

Environmental Health Scientist

Community Involvement and Program Initiatives Branch

Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: 703-603-8792

email: dreyfus.melissa@epa.gov

From: Ortiz, Julia On Behalf Of EOC Public Information

Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2015 4:04 PM

To: EOC Solid Waste; Keller, Melanie; Dreyfus, Melissa G.; Wells, Suzanne; Mahmud, Shahid

Subject: Fw: Denver Post Inquiry (Paul #248)

Good afternoon OSWER Team,

Below is a media inquiry and draft responses (in blue below) prepared by the JIC with input from Area Command. It's in regards to the commercial water treatment facility to be installed ahead of winter. Please review and add input as necessary. Due date: unspecified, reporter has been requesting for a few weeks now.

Thank you.

- Where would this be placed? Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

- How much would the apparatus cost? The treatment options are still being evaluated, but

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

- Where will the money for this plant come from? Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

- Will the plant be permanent or temporary? Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

--